Supporting Large First Year Cohorts through Selection and Skilling of Sessional Tutors

ि समिद्र मान दे तुर्रे दे छात

Belinda Johnson, Cathryn Kriewaldt & Chris Davies, Global Studies, Social Science and Planning, RMIT University



- Social Sciences first year foundational courses (subjects):
 - Sociology, Politics, Social Economics, Sustainability, Social History of Ideas, Social Psychology
- Large Courses (350 600 students)
- Core for many diverse degree programs (e.g. Youth Work, Environment, Planning, Policy, Legal Studies, Psychology)
- Heavy reliance on sessional teams (often 7 10)
- High equity intakes.





There are three Associate Lecturers with the broad responsibility for these common courses to ensure that students have a good first year experience.

- We focus on:
 - good T&L
 - Curriculum design
 - Imbed academic skills in course assessment & content
 - supporting sessionals
 - Running Oweek for our School
 - Administration (e.g. some timetabling)

Between us, we teach into all the courses.



Activity: Qualities we desire in first year teachers

What qualities do we ideally desire for good first year teachers?

In pairs or trios, brainstorm qualities that you think are important for first year teachers.

(3 mins only!!)

म् सिंह रेपलेंद



What current practices are being widely used in the Higher Ed sector for appointment of sessionals?

(not necessarily *your* practices, but common processes that you know are happening).

(3 mins only!!!)



Are these appointment strategies ensuring that first year sessionals have the qualities we identified as being important??



Our principles for our selection process

- For the owning degree programs:
 - Accountability
 - Openness
 - Transparency
- For the students:
 - Alignment for first year: selecting for good T&L
- For the (potential) sessionals:
 - Accessibility (casting a wide net/ being encouraging)
 - Clarity (what we value)
 - Clear expectations
- For us
 - Taking Responsibility (to have good T&L for all students)



Our Model - what we do (Overview) - Part 1

- Call widely for expressions of interest (EoI + CV), explaining our process
- Send out support materials for preparation of their teaching demonstration & follow up support (as requested). This includes the criteria that we use to assess them in the demonstration.





- Organise 20 minute teaching demonstrations for all interested (potential) sessionals to 'class' of 6 - 10 'students'
 - Actual first year students in the 'class' (paid with book voucher for their time)
 - Target a range of students
 - All involved faculty are welcome to be part of 'class'
 - We are also 'students'



Part III: Afterwards...

- After *each* demonstration, all members of the 'class' participate in evaluating the potential sessional, going through each criterion, determining whether employable or not, suitability for particular subjects/cohorts
- We prioritise hearing from the students, and from their experience. We encourage a diversity of opinions.
- After all demonstrations, rank all potential sessionals

Later:

- Feedback to each potential sessional
- Modify the sessional induction program to include skill development in specific areas that new sessionals require





- Some resentment of the process old power has been taken away (some course coordinators initially & other individual faculty members (ongoing))
- Some unsuccessful potential sessionals now hate us (people who assumed they deserved teaching work have made it personal)
- More transparency, paradoxically, *can* make you more of a target internally
- We found it better to be: as inclusive as possible, as clear about the process as possible.



Further unresolved issue (help!)

Despite the clear selection criteria, students often seem to rate more highly potential sessionals who are:

- Attractive
- Charismatic
- Fashionable
- Younger
- Charming

Sessional Teaching evaluation worksheet

The mock tutorial model of sessional teacher assessment is designed to offer insight into the skills and aptitudes of staff to run first year tutorials in the common first year courses (CCA).

The purpose of this sheet is to encourage you to reflect on how you feel in the teaching space created by a tutor, both in terms of your own learning but also in relation to the diversity of the cohorts in our School.

Question/category	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
Did the tutor create a	[· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
warm and welcoming			
environment?			Υ.
Was the tutor pleased			
to be there?			\checkmark
Did you feel you could			
speak up and be			
heard?			
Did you feel your		good examp	les
learning was			•
supported?			
Did the tutor respond to			
your questions?			V
Was it clear what you			. /
were expected to do?			
Was it clear why you			
vere doing the tasks?			
Did you clearly			
understand the			
material?			× .
At the end, could your		i i	/
see that you learnt			
something?			
Did you enjoy the			
utorial?			¥
Think of some classes			
ou've been in. Would a		 ⊻	
wide range of diverse			
students benefit from			
his tutor?			

- better to ask for volunteers that to ask someone to read.

- too much of a conversation rather than achivities. Not quite enough time to do activity at the end.

- Shudent centred in material choice

- employable /

 RMIT University and threasing Document: excessions for sessional teaching evaluation, K Heperty, 12.2.08



We have a one day paid induction session for *all* sessionals teaching with us (both new and experienced).

We vary it semester to semester More details in your handout

Both processes (demonstrations & induction session) are constantly evolving; we move on to new challenges, & continually refine the processes to improve across the board.



Our Contacts

Belinda Johnson Belinda.Johnson@rmit.edu.au

Cathryn Kriewaldt Cathryn,Kriewaldt@rmit.edu.au

Chris Davies Christopher.Davies@rmit.edu.au



