

The impact of supplementary on-line resources on university academic performance: A study of first-year economics students

By

Dr Elisa Birch and Dr Andrew Williams¹,
Economics Department, University of Western Australia

Abstract

This paper examines the impact of supplementary web-based materials on students' final marks in a first-year economics unit. It considers the impact of students' usage of the unit's webpage, voluntary on-line discussion board, voluntary on-line quizzes and voluntary on-line homework questions on academic performance. It finds that students who make use of on-line materials perform better in the unit than students who do not, holding prior academic ability constant. It also finds that students' usage of on-line supplementary materials does not vary according to their academic ability.

Introduction

In recent years the delivery of university courses has shifted from pure classroom-based instruction to the use of web-based resources. Such resources include lecture recordings, on-line discussion boards, on-line quizzes and pure web-based instruction. The use of web-based resources for students has several potential advantages, in that: (i) it provides students with additional learning materials which they are able to revise; (ii) it provides students with the opportunity to share their knowledge; (iii) it allows students to catch up on course material if they miss a face-to-face lecture and, (iv) it provides students with flexibility on when they learn (see Brown and Ford, 2002 for further discussion on the potential advantages of the use of web-based teaching resources).

There are only a few studies in the education literature which consider the impact of the use of supplementary web-based materials on academic performance.² For the most part, it has been reported that the use of supplementary on-line materials has a positive impact on students' marks at university (*e.g.*, see Williams *et al.*, 2011; Woo *et al.*, 2008; Gerber *et al.*, 2007; Mallik, 2011; Morris and Walker, 2006; Gosper *et al.*, 2007 and Catley, 2004).³ For example, the findings by Mallik (2011) indicate that students' marks in a university unit increased by approximately 0.15 percent for every time they logged into the unit's webpage. Morris and Walker (2006) suggest that the introduction of supplementary web-based material increased the pass rates for a university unit by 20 percent. Catley (2004) reports that the average mark of students who participated in voluntary on-line quizzes was around 10 percentage points higher than students who do not participate in the on-line quizzes.

¹ The authors wish to acknowledge the University of Western Australia for data provision and funding. They also wish to thank Phil Hancock for comments and Tijana Mirkovic for research assistance. The findings in this paper should be attributed to the authors and not the University of Western Australia.

² There is a much larger body of literature which examines the differences in the academic performance of students who study units completely face-to-face, completely on-line or through a blended learning environment (see Birch *et al.*, 2011 for a review). Few studies, however, focus specifically on the use of supplementary on-line resources.

³ There have been a number of studies in the literature which have examined student's perceptions of supplementary web-based material. It generally has been reported that students find such materials enhance their ability to study (*e.g.*, see Larkin, 2010; Taylor, 2009 and Woo *et al.*, 2006).

There are several limitations of this existing body of literature. First, most studies use small samples based on surveys of students and as a result are subject to selection bias issues. Second, most studies in the literature do not take into account students' prior academic ability when examining the relationship between academic performance and on-line university materials (*e.g.*, Day and Foley, 2006, and Catley, 2004).⁴ It is possible that students' usage of on-line unit materials differs according to their prior academic ability. There is a wide body of literature that reports that students' prior academic ability, such as their scores on the university entrance examinations is a main factor influencing their performance at university (see Birch and Miller, 2004 for a review). Finally, the existing research mainly focuses on how students' usage of the unit's webpage impact on academic performance. For instance, Mallik (2011) only considers the number of times a student logs into the unit's webpage. Little is known on whether the impact of the use of on-line resources on students' academic performance varies across the types of on-line resources (such as quizzes and discussion boards).

The purpose of this paper is to enhance the understanding of the impact of web-based material on students' academic performance at university. Using Student Record File data from students enrolled in a principal economics unit, the paper estimates the impact of the usage of web-based materials on students' final mark in the unit of study, taking other factors, such as prior academic ability, into account. In particular, the paper looks at the impact of the students' usage of voluntary on-line quizzes, voluntary on-line homework questions, a voluntary on-line discussion board and the unit's webpage on their final mark for the unit.

The paper is structured as follows. The second section discusses the data, methodology and estimating procedure. The third section presents the empirical results. A summary and conclusion is presented in the final section.

Data, Methodology and Estimating Procedure

The data for the analysis are drawn from the Student Records Files at the University of Western Australia. A benefit of the data set is that it contains information on all students enrolled at the university, rather than information gathered from a survey. The data set focuses on students enrolled in a first-year microeconomics unit in 2010. This unit offers a number of supplementary web-based materials for its students. These include a voluntary on-line discussion board, voluntary on-line quizzes and voluntary on-line homework questions assessable from the textbook's supplementary materials website.⁵ The unit also has a webpage containing all unit materials and links to all the units' supplementary web-based materials. Data on students' usage of these on-line materials is captured through data collected in the university's Learning Management System (WebCT). The Student Record File data also contains information on students' prior academic abilities such as their score on the university entrance examinations (measured by their Tertiary Entrance Rank, TER), their marks for the unit, personal and demographic characteristics, such as gender and birthplace, and characteristics of the secondary school attended. The data sample is restricted to students with information on these characteristics, and comprises 1,012 students.

⁴ Figlio *et al.* (2010) express a similar limitation of the existing research.

⁵ The unit also has lecture recordings. However, this information is not available for all students and therefore is not considered in this paper. The unit has a two hour face-to-face lecture and a one hour face-to-face tutorial per week. Students are not required to attend the lectures. They are required to attend the weekly tutorial.

As shown in Table 1, all students in the sample accessed the unit's webpage on at least one occasion throughout the semester. The average number of times a student accessed the webpage was 53 times which is equivalent to approximately 4 times a week for the semester. Around 15 percent of students posted a comment on the voluntary discussion board. Of these students, the average number of posts was four posts. Almost all students (96 percent) attempted at least one of the voluntary on-line quizzes for the unit. Students who attempted the quizzes, on average, attempted 10 quizzes throughout the semester, equivalent to one quiz per topic for the course. Finally, around 18 percent of students attempted the voluntary on-line homework questions for the unit.

Table 1 Students' Usage of Web-Based Supplementary Material Over the Semester

Type of Web-Based Supplementary Material	Proportion of Students Accessing Material	Mean Number of Times Materials Accessed (for those using the material)	Range for Number of Times Material Accessed (for those using the material)
The number of times the student logged into the unit's webpage.	100.00% (1,012 students)	53.33 (std dev. = 35.99)	1 to 281
The number of times a student posted a comment on the voluntary on-line discussion board.	14.72% (149 students)	3.72 (std dev. = 5.43)	1 to 36
The number of times a student attempted the voluntary on-line quizzes.	96.74% (979 students)	10.43 (std dev. = 8.31)	1 to 75
Whether the student accessed the on-line homework questions for the unit. ^(a)	17.89% (181 students)	NA	NA

Note: ^(a) The data set only contains information on whether the student accessed the voluntary on-line homework materials. Information on the number of times a student accessed these materials was not available.

Table 2 shows that there are few differences in the students' use of on-line supplementary unit materials by their prior academic ability (based on their score on the university entrance examinations, TER). Hence, the mean TER score of students only varies by approximately 2 percentage points according to their usage of the units' webpage, comments on the discussion board and whether they accessed the on-line voluntary homework questions.⁶ As such, it is possible to suggest that for the most part, students' usage of supplementary on-line material is independent of their prior academic ability.

Table 2 Students' Usage of Web-Based Supplementary Material Over the Semester by Prior Academic Ability^(a)

Type of Web-Based Supplementary Material	Mean TER Score
<u>The number of times the student logged into the unit's webpage</u>	
Less than 19 times	88.36
20-29 times	90.09
30-49 times	89.76
50-74 times	90.13
75-99 times	90.19
100 or more times	90.25
<u>The number of times a student posted a comment on the voluntary on-line discussion</u>	

⁶ There is a slightly larger variation in students' quiz attempts by TER score (around 5 percentage points).

<u>board</u>	89.81
None	90.52
Once	89.85
Twice	90.29
Three or more times	
<u>The number of times a student attempted the voluntary on-line quizzes</u>	
None	87.11
Once	89.10
Two to five times	88.89
Six to 10 times	89.12
11 to 15 times	90.96
16 to 20 times	90.11
More than 20 times	92.73
<u>Whether the student accessed the on-line homework questions for the unit</u>	
Did not access on-line homework	89.56
Accessed on-line homework	91.22

Note: ^(a) Students' TER score only varies significantly across their attempts at the voluntary on-line quizzes. It does not vary significantly for the other variables associated with on-line resources.

The model to estimate the impact of the use of supplementary web-based materials on academic performance is similar to that used in recent studies such as Birch and Miller (2004). It is based on the education production function, whereby a student's academic performance (AP_i) can be expressed as a function of their prior educational attainment (E_i), ability (A_i) and their learning practises (LP_i). The model can be expressed as:

$$AP_i = AP_i(E_i, A_i, LP_i) \quad (1)$$

Students' academic performance is measured by their final mark in the first-year economics unit (a mark out of one hundred). In the model, students' prior educational attainment is measured by their score on the university entrance examinations and whether they studied economics in high school. Students' ability is proxied by their gender and birthplace. Students' learning practices are measured by the type of high school attended, whether they are repeating the unit, their course major, whether they study part-time, if they are undertaking a double degree and their usage of the web-based materials for the unit. A variable controlling for the semester of study is also included in the model to capture any differences in students' marks across semesters.⁷

Following Birch and Miller (2004), the determinants of academic performance is estimated using OLS. This will allow for the impact of students' usage of supplementary material on final marks to be examined holding students' score on the university entrance examinations held constant. Due to collinearity among the web-based materials variables, the impact of these variables on academic performance is estimated separately. A description of the variables used in the analyses is presented Table 3.

⁷ The data is taken from the two semesters in 2010. The content for the unit was identical across the semesters.

Table 3 Description of Variables Used in the Models of the Determinants of Academic Performance

Variable/Code	Description	Mean	St. Dev
<u>Tertiary Academic Performance</u>			
<i>Mark</i>	Continuous variable for students' final mark for the first-year microeconomics unit. It is measured out of mark of 100.	61.58	15.36
<u>TER Score</u>			
<i>TER</i>	Students' score on the university entrance examinations (TER score). It is measured out of 100 and is a 'ranked' score relative to other students' performance on the TER.	89.89	7.78
<u>Prior Economics Knowledge</u>			
<i>Econs</i>	Dummy variable for students who studied economics in the final year of high school.	0.43	0.49
<i>Noecons</i>	Omitted Category: Students who did not study economics in the final year of high school.	0.57	0.49
<u>Gender</u>			
<i>Female</i>	Dummy variable for female students.	0.43	0.49
<i>Male</i>	Omitted Category: Male students.	0.57	0.49
<u>Birthplace</u>			
<i>OS</i>	Dummy variable for students born overseas.	0.35	0.48
<i>Aust</i>	Omitted Category: Australian-born students.	0.65	0.48
<u>High School Attended</u>			
<i>Catholic</i>	Dummy variable for students who attended a Catholic high school.	0.22	0.41
<i>Indp</i>	Dummy variable for students who attended an Independent high school.	0.45	0.50
<i>Govt</i>	Omitted Category: Students who attended a Government high school.	0.33	0.47
<u>Repeating Student</u>			
<i>Repeat</i>	Dummy variable for students who are repeating the unit.	0.11	0.31
<i>Norepeat</i>	Omitted Category: Students who are studying the unit for the first time.	0.89	0.31
<u>Degree Major</u>			
<i>NoCommerce</i>	Dummy variable for students whose degree is not in the field of economics or commerce.	0.13	0.34
<i>EconCommerce</i>	Omitted Category: Students whose degree major is in the field of economics or commerce.	0.87	0.34
<u>Studying Part-Time</u>			
<i>Part-time</i>	Dummy variable for students who study their degree on a part-time basis (<i>i.e.</i> , has an 'equivalent full-time student load' of less than 0.75).	0.13	0.34
<i>Full-time</i>	Omitted Category: Students who study their degree on a full-time basis.	0.87	0.34
<u>Double Degree</u>			
<i>Double</i>	Dummy variable for students who are studying a double degree.	0.46	0.50
<i>Single</i>	Dummy variable for students who are studying a single degree.	0.54	0.50
<u>Webpage Sessions</u>			
<i>Sessions</i>	Continuous variable for the number of times that the student logged into the unit's webpage.	5.33	36.00
<u>Discussion Board Posts (voluntary)</u>			
<i>DBP1</i>	Dummy variable for posting one discussion on the on-line discussion board.	0.07	0.25
<i>DBP2</i>	Dummy variable for posting two discussions on the on-line discussion board.	0.02	0.16
<i>DBP3</i>	Dummy variable for posting three or more discussions on the on-line discussion board.	0.06	0.23
<i>DBP0</i>	Omitted Category: Students who did not post a discussion on the on-line discussion	0.85	0.35

board.		
<u>On-Line Quizzes</u>		
<i>Quiz1</i> Dummy variable for students who attempted one voluntary on-line quiz.	0.10	0.30

Table 3 Description of Variables Used in the Models of the Determinants of Academic Performance (cont.)

Variable/Code	Description	Mean	Std Dev
<i>Quiz2-5</i>	Dummy variable for students who attempted between two and five voluntary on-line quizzes.	0.21	0.41
<i>Quiz6-10</i>	Dummy variable for students who attempted between six and 10 voluntary on-line quizzes.	0.23	0.42
<i>Quiz11-15</i>	Dummy variable for students who attempted between 11 and 15 voluntary on-line quizzes.	0.22	0.41
<i>Quiz16-20</i>	Dummy variable for students who attempted between 16 and 20 voluntary on-line quizzes.	0.10	0.30
<i>Quiz>20</i>	Dummy variable for students who attempted more than 20 voluntary on-line quizzes.	0.11	0.30
<i>Quiz0</i>	Omitted Category: Students who did not attempted any of the voluntary on-line quizzes.	0.03	0.18
<u>Usage of On-line Homework Questions</u>			
<i>Homework</i>	Dummy variable for students who attempted the voluntary homework questions.	0.18	0.38
<i>NoHW</i>	Omitted Category: Students who did not attempt the voluntary homework questions.	0.82	0.38
<u>Semester</u>			
<i>Semester2</i>	Dummy variable for students studying the unit in the second semester.	0.33	0.47
<i>Semester1</i>	Dummy variable for students studying the unit in the first semester.	0.67	0.47

Empirical Results

The results from the model to estimate the determinants of academic performance are presented in Table 3. Panel (i) contains results from a model on academic performance which excludes variables on the use of supplementary web-based materials. Panel (ii) presents the results from the model controlling for the number of times that the student accessed the unit's webpage. Panel (iii) presents results from the model with variables on the number of times that a student posted a discussion on the voluntary on-line discussion board. Panel (iv) contains the results controlling for the number of voluntary on-line quizzes attempted and Panel (v) presents the results for the model controlling for the use of the voluntary on-line homework questions. Each panel contains the estimated coefficients and the absolute heteroscedastic corrected 't' statistics. The dependent variable is students' final mark in the first-year economics unit.

Many findings of the models to estimate the determinants of academic performance are similar to those presented in the existing literature (see Birch and Miller, 2004 for a review of studies). Hence, Panel (i) of Table 3 shows that students' final mark in first-year economics is positively correlative with their score on the university entrance examinations (*TER*), with a one percent increase in students *TER* score resulting in approximately a 0.8 percent increase in their final mark. Table 3 also shows that students' final mark in first-year economics is positively correlated with being born overseas, (*OS*), where overseas-born students have a mark advantage of approximately 3 percent compared to Australian-born students.

Panel (i) shows that students who attended a Catholic (*Catholic*) or Independent (*Indp*) high school, those repeating the unit (*Repeat*), those studying a degree other than commerce or

economics (*NoComm*) and those who were studying a double degree (*Double*) have lower marks in first-year economics. Students from Catholic or Independent high schools have final marks which are 4.9 percent and 6.7 percent lower than the marks for students from Government schools. Students who were repeating the unit have marks that are 4.6 percent lower than the marks of non-repeaters. Studying a degree outside of commerce or economics is associated with approximately a 2.4 percent reduction in marks and studying a double degree is associated with approximately a 3 percent reduction in marks.

Table 4 Description of Variables Used in the Models of the Determinants of Academic Performance^(a)

	Panel (i)	Panel (ii)	Panel (iii)	Panel (iv)	Panel (v)
<i>Constant</i>	-8.488 (1.203)	-11.609 (1.763) ^{**}	-7.849 (1.130)	-6.434 (0.904)	-8.056 (0.143)
<u>TER Score</u>					
<i>TER</i>	0.834 (11.385) ^{***}	0.792 (11.550) ^{***}	0.810 (11.226) ^{***}	0.748 (11.023) ^{***}	0.813 (11.093) ^{***}
<u>Prior Economics Knowledge</u>					
<i>Econs</i>	1.025 (1.153)	1.371 (1.665) [*]	1.152 (1.327)	1.658 (2.031) ^{**}	1.291 (1.473)
<u>Gender</u>					
<i>Female</i>	-0.604 (0.708)	-1.161 (1.424)	-0.572 (0.685)	-0.178 (0.222)	-1.023 (1.210)
<u>Birthplace</u>					
<i>OS</i>	3.132 (3.275) ^{***}	2.796 (3.079) ^{***}	3.055 (3.298) ^{***}	3.108 (3.427) ^{***}	3.408 (3.615) ^{***}
<u>High School Attended</u>					
<i>Catholic</i>	-4.915 (4.178) ^{**}	-4.240 (3.754) ^{***}	-4.768 (4.139) ^{***}	-5.004 (4.547) ^{***}	-4.603 (3.979) ^{***}
<i>Indp</i>	-6.742 (6.903) ^{***}	-5.818 (6.241) ^{***}	-5.891 (6.095) ^{***}	-6.238 (6.733) ^{***}	-6.515 (6.705) ^{***}
<u>Repeating Student</u>					
<i>Repeat</i>	-4.605 (2.914) ^{***}	-4.882 (3.217) ^{***}	-4.525 (2.872) ^{***}	-4.052 (2.760) ^{***}	-4.616 (2.950) ^{***}
<u>Degree Major</u>					
<i>NoComm</i>	-2.359 (1.605)	-2.619 (1.959) ^{**}	-2.694 (1.866) ^{**}	-2.440 (1.831) [*]	-2.300 (1.675) [*]
<u>Studying Part-Time</u>					
<i>Part-time</i>	-0.224 (0.139)	-0.758 (0.503)	-0.411 (0.257)	-0.892 (0.618)	-0.190 (0.118)
<u>Double Degree</u>					
<i>Double</i>	-3.072 (2.893) ^{***}	-2.997 (3.013) ^{***}	-3.206 (3.048) ^{***}	-2.686 (2.729) ^{***}	-3.082 (2.935) ^{***}
<u>Webpage Sessions</u>					
<i>Sessions</i>	(b)	0.119 (9.449) ^{***}	(b)	(b)	(b)
<u>Discussion Board Posts</u>					
<i>DBP1</i>	(b)	(b)	5.234 (3.341) ^{***}	(b)	(b)
<i>DBP2</i>	(b)	(b)	8.619 (4.321) ^{***}	(b)	(b)

<i>DBP3</i>	(b)	(b)	9.278 (6.577) ^{***}	(b))	(b)
<u>On-Line Quizzes</u>					
<i>Quiz1</i>	(b)	(b)	(b)	-1.392 (0.393)	(b)
<i>Quiz2-5</i>	(b)	(b)	(b)	-1.099 (0.325)	(b)
<i>Quiz6-10</i>	(b)	(b)	(b)	4.050 (1.234)	(b)

Table 4 Description of Variables Used in the Models of the Determinants of Academic Performance^(a)
[cont.]

<i>Quiz11-15</i>	(b)	(b)	(b)	8.048 (2.455) ^{**}	(b)
	Panel (i)	Panel (ii)	Panel (iii)	Panel (iv)	Panel (v)
<i>Quiz16-20</i>	(b)	(b)	(b)	12.828 (3.626) ^{***}	(b)
<i>Quiz>20</i>	(b)	(b)	(b)	11.660 (3.472) ^{***}	(b)
<u>Usage of On-line Homework</u>					
<i>Homework</i>	(b)	(b)	(b)	(b)	5.476 (5.678) ^{***}
<u>Semester</u>					
<i>Semester2</i>	0.526 (0.518)	1.357 (1.413)	0.764 (0.762)	0.765 (0.786)	1.357 (1.345)
Adjusted R ²	0.239	0.315	0.228	0.339	0.256
F-test	29.920	3.970	27.400	31.560	30.060
Mean Mark	61.579	61.579	61.579	61.579	61.579
Sample Size	1,012	1,012	1,012	1,012	1,012

Note: ^(a) Each panel contains the estimated coefficients and the absolute 't' statistics in parenthesis. The symbol *** represents statistical significance at the 1 percent level, ** at the 5 percent level and * at the 10 percent level. ^(b) Not included in the estimating equation.

Panels (ii) to (v) show that the inclusion of students' usage of web-based materials in the model of the determinants of students' academic success improves the explanatory power of the model. Hence, the adjusted R² increases from approximately 0.23 to around 0.30. This implies that variables on web-based materials substantially assist in the prediction of academic success. Moreover, the panels show that students who make use of the on-line materials have higher marks in the unit than those who do not, holding their score on the university entrance examinations constant. Hence, for students with the same level of prior academic ability (measured by their score on the university entrance examinations) those who use supplementary web-based materials have higher marks than those who do not. Therefore, it appears that students' usage of supplementary web-based materials is a good indicator of their success in the first-year economics unit.

Panel (ii) shows that there is positive correlation between students' final mark in first-year economics and the number of times they access the unit's web-page (*Sessions*). A five percent

increase in the number of times a student accesses the unit's web-page is associated with a 1 percent increase in marks. The study by Mallick (2011) reports a similar finding.

Panel (iii) shows that students' usage of the voluntary on-line discussion board also has a positive impact on their marks. Students who posted one discussion on the discussion board (*DBP1*) have marks which are 5.2 percent higher than the marks of students who did not post any discussions on the discussion board. Students who posted two discussions (*DBP2*) were found to have marks which are 8.6 percent higher than their counterparts not posting any discussions and students who posted at least three discussions on the discussion board have marks which are 9.3 percent higher than the marks of students without discussion board posts.

Students' use of the voluntary on-line quizzes is also a good indicator of their success in the first-year economics unit. Hence, Panel (iii) shows that students who attempted at least 11 quizzes have higher marks in the unit than students who did not attempt the quizzes. Students who attempted between 11 and 15 quizzes (*Quiz11-15*) had a mark advantage of 8.0 percent over students not attempting the quizzes. The mark advantage associated with attempting 16 to 20 quizzes (*Quiz16-20*) and 21 or more quizzes (*Quiz>20*) is 12.8 and 11.7 percent respectively.

Finally, Panel (v) shows that students who attempted the voluntary on-line homework questions (*Homework*) also have higher marks in the first-year economics unit than students who did not attempt the homework questions. These students' marks are approximately 5.5 percent higher than the marks of students who did not use the voluntary on-line homework questions.

There are three key findings from the analysis: (i) there is a positive impact on the usage of supplementary web-based materials on final marks. This impact is greater for students who access the materials more frequently throughout the semester. (ii) The impact of the usage of supplementary web-based material does not vary considerably over the type of web-based material access and (iii) the impact of the use of web-based materials on marks is considerably large compared to other determinants of academic success such as school type.

Summary and Conclusion

This paper has estimated the impact of students' usages of supplementary on-line materials on their final marks in a first-year economics unit at university. It has found that there is a positive correlation between students' usage of the on-line materials and their mark in the unit. The findings relating to the use of on-line materials on academic performance are quite pronounced. The results show that the impact of the use of on-line materials on academic performance is relatively large compared to other determinants of academic success. In addition, the results show that the impact of the use of on-line web-based materials on academic performance does not vary considerably across the type of web-based material. Students who use a larger number of the materials have the largest mark advantage.

The paper has also found that there is little difference in students' use of supplementary on-line web material according to their prior academic ability. As such, it must be other factors which motivate student to engage in the use of supplementary material.

The findings imply that there is possible merit to using supplementary web-based materials to enhance students' learning. It is also possible that the findings show that students who use the on-line resources are more motivated to study and therefore achieve higher marks in the university course. More research is required to identify the factors which influence students' decisions to use on-line resources. Nevertheless, the findings in this paper show that students who make use of supplementary web-based materials perform better at university. As such the use of web-based materials appears to be a good indicator of students who will be successful in their university courses.

References

- Birch, E. and Miller, P. (2004). The determinants of students' tertiary academic success, in *Productivity Commission Conference on Quantitative Tools for Microeconomic Policy Analysis: Conference Proceedings*. Productivity Commission, Melbourne, Australia, pp. 45-80.
- Brown, K. and Ford, J. (2002). Using computer technology in training, in K. Kraiger (Ed.), *Creating, Implementing, and Managing Effective Training and Development*, Jossey Bass, San Francisco, United States, pp. 192-233.
- Catley, P. (2004). One lecturer's experience of blended e-learning with traditional teaching. *Brookes eJournal of Learning and Teaching*, Vol. 1 (2), pp. 1-8.
- Day, J. and Foley, J. (2006). Evaluating web lectures: A case study from HCI, Paper presented at the Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, Montreal, Canada, 22nd to 27th April.
- Figlio, D., Rush, M. and Yin, L. (2010). Is it live or is it internet? Experimental estimates of the effects of online instruction on student learning. National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper 16089, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, United States.
- Gerber, M., Grundt, S. and Grote, G. (2007). Distributed collaboration activities in a blended learning scenarios and the effects on learning performance. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, Vol. 24 (3), pp. 232-244.
- Gosper, M., McNeill, M., Woo, K., Phillips, R., Preston, G. and Green, D. (2007). Web-based lecture recording technologies: Do students learn from them?, paper presented at the EDUCAUSE Austrasia Conference, Melbourne, Australia, 29th April to 2nd May 2007.
- Larkin, H. (2010). "But they won't come to lectures ...". The impact of audio recorded lectures on student experience and attendance. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, Vol. 26 (2), pp. 238-249.
- Mallik, G. (2011). Lecture and tutorial attendance and student performance in the first year economics course: A quantile regression approach. Paper presented at the American Economic Association Annual Meetin, Denver, United States, 6-9th January.
- Morris, L. and Walker, D. (2006). CAAA sparks chemical reaction: Integrating CAA in a learning and teaching strategy, in *Flexible Delivery: An Evaluation of the use of the Virtual Learning Environment in Higher Education Across Scotland*, Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education, pp. 25-31.
- Taylor, M. (2009). Podcast lectures as a primary teaching technology: Results from a one-year trial. *Journal of Political Science Education*, Vol. 5 (2), pp. 119-137.

- Williams, A., Birch, E. and Hancock, P. (2011). The impact of on-line lecture recordings on student performance. Economics Program Discussion Paper, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia.
- Woo, K., Gosper, M., McNeill, M., Preston, G., Green, D. and Phillips, R. (2008). Web-based lecture technologies: Blurring the boundaries between face-to-face and distance learning. *ALT-J, Research in Learning Technology*, Vol. 16 (2), pp. 81-93.